

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 611 OF 2007

SHRI. VINAYAK G. WARTY

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF GOA THROUGH CHIEF
SECRETARY AND 4 ORS.,

... Respondents

Mr. V. A. Lawande, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S. S. Kantak, Advocate General with Ms. Rakhi Chodankar, Addl.
Govt. Advocate for respondents No.1 to 3.

Coram:- D. B. BHOSALE &
N. A. BRITTO, JJ.

Date:- 18th December, 2007

P.C.

Heard learned Counsel for the petitioners and learned Advocate General for respondents No.1 to 3.

2. By this writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for directions to respondents No.1 to 4 to take action against the illegal construction put up by respondent No.5 and direct them to demolish the said illegal construction.

3. The learned Advocate General, on instructions, makes a statement that respondent No.2 has already issued a show cause notice to respondent No.5 and they will take appropriate action in accordance with law, at the earliest.

4. In view of the statement made by the learned Advocate General, this petition stands disposed of by the following order :

Respondent No.2, which has issued the show cause notice to

respondent No.5, shall decide the same as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of 3 months from today, after giving an opportunity of being heard to all the concerned, and shall also proceed to take action, if the construction is found to be illegal, in accordance with law.

It is open for the petitioner to approach respondent No.4-Panchayat, with a copy of this order, requesting them to take appropriate action, expeditiously. If such an application is made, respondent No.4-Panchayat, shall also take appropriate action, in accordance with law, within 3 months from today. With these observations, the writ petition is disposed of.

D. B. BHOSALE, J.

N. A. BRITTO, J.

ssm.